arXiv:0907.4840v1 [math.RT] 28 Jul 2009

GENERATORS OF SUPERSYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

A.N. GRISHKOV, F. MARKO, AND A.N. ZUBKOV

Abstract. In [1], Kantor and Trishin described the algebra of polynomial invariants of the adjoint representation of the Lie supergalgebra $gl(m|n)$ and a related algebra A_s of what they called pseudosymmetric polynomials over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic zero. The algebra A_s was investigated earlier by Stembridge who in [4] called the elements of A_s supersymmetric polynomials and determined generators of A_s.

The case of positive characteristic p has been recently investigated by La Scala and Zubkov in [3]. They formulated two conjectures describing generators of polynomial invariants of the adjoint action of the general linear supergroup $GL(m|n)$ and generators of A_s, respectively. In the present paper we prove both conjectures.

Introduction and notation

Let K be an algebraically closed field K of positive characteristic $p \neq 2$. The following notation is related to general linear supergroup $G = GL(m|n)$. Let $K[c_{ij}]$ be a commutative superalgebra freely generated by elements c_{ij} for $1 \leq i, j \leq m+n$, where c_{ij} is even if either $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ or $m+1 \leq i, j \leq m+n$, and c_{ij} is odd otherwise. Denote by C the generic matrix $(c_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq m+n}$ and write C as a block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} C_{00} & C_{01} \\ C_{10} & C_{11} \end{pmatrix}$, where entries of C_{00} and C_{11} are even and entries of C_{01} and C_{10} are odd. The localization of $K[c_{ij}]$ by elements $det(C_{00})$ and $det(C_{11})$ is the coordinate superalgebra $K[G]$ of the general linear supergroup $G = GL(m|n)$. The general linear supergroup $G = GL(m|n)$ is a group functor from the category $SAlg_K$ of commutative superalgebras over K to the category of groups, represented by its coordinate ring $K[G]$, that is $G(A) = Hom_{SAlg_K}(K[G], A)$ for $A \in SAlg_K$. Here, for $g \in G(A)$ and $f \in K[G]$ we define $f(g) = g(f)$. Denote by $Ber(C) = det(C_{00} - C_{01}C_{11}^{-1}C_{10})det(C_{11})^{-1}$ the Berezinian element.

Let T be the standard maximal torus in G and $X(T)$ be a set of characters. Let V be a G-supermodule with weight decomposition $V = \oplus_{\lambda\in X(T)} V_\lambda$, where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{m+n})$ and each V_λ splits into a sum of its even subspace $(V_\lambda)_0$ and odd subspace $(V_\lambda)_1$. The (formal) supercharacter $\chi_{sup}(V)$ of V is defined as

$$\chi_{sup}(V) = \sum_{\lambda \in X(T)} (dim(V_\lambda)_0 - dim(V_\lambda)_1) x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_m^{\lambda_m} y_1^{\lambda_{m+1}} \dots y_n^{\lambda_{m+n}}.$$

If V is a G-supermodule with a homogeneous basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_a, v_{a+1}, \dots, v_{a+b}\}$ such that v_i is even for $1 \leq i \leq a$ and v_i is odd for $a+1 \leq i \leq a+b$, and the image $\rho_V(v_i)$ of a basis element v_i under a comultiplication ρ_V is given as $\rho_V(v_i) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq a+b} v_j \otimes f_{ji}$, then the supertrace $Tr(V)$ is defined as $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq a} f_{ii} - \sum_{a+1 \leq i \leq a+b} f_{ii}$.

Let E be a standard G-supermodule given by basis elements $e_1, \dots, e_m$ that are even and $e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+n}$ that are odd and by comultiplication $\rho_E(e_i) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m+n} e_j \otimes c_{ji}$. Denote by $\Lambda^r(E)$ the r-th exterior power of E and by C_r the supertrace of $\Lambda^r(E)$.

The algebra R of invariants with respect to adjoint action of G is a set of functions $f \in K[G]$ satisfying $f(g_1^{-1} g_2 g_1) = f(g_2)$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G(A)$ and any commutative supergalgebra A over K. The algebra R_{pol} of polynomial invariants is a subalgebra of R consisting of polynomial functions.

As in the characteristic zero case, the description of polynomial invariants R_{pol} can be reduced to that of the algebra A_s of supersymmetric polynomials. The algebra A_s consists of polynomials $f(x|y) = f(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots y_n)$ that are symmetric in variables $x_1, \dots x_m$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ separately and such that $\frac{d}{dT} f(x|y)_{x_1 = y_1 = T} = 0$. The main tool for this reduction is the Chevalley epimorphism $\phi : K[G] \to A$, where $A = K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}, y_1^{\pm 1} \dots y_n^{\pm 1}]$, and $\phi(c_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\phi(c_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} y_{i-m}$ for $m+1 \leq i \leq m+n$. Then for any G-supermodule V we have $\phi(Tr(V)) = \chi_{sup}(V)$. In particular, for $0 \leq r$ we have

$$\phi(C_r) = c_r = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq min(r,m)} (-1)^{r-i} \sigma_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) p_{r-i}(y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

where σ_i is the i-th elementary symmetric function and p_j is the j-th complete symmetric function.

A homogeneous polynomial $f(x|y) = \sum a_\lambda x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_m^{\lambda_m} y_1^{\lambda_{m+1}} \dots y_n^{\lambda_{m+n}}$ is called p-balanced if $p|(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)$ whenever $1 \leq i \leq m < j \leq m+n$ and $a_\lambda \neq 0$. Denote by $A_s(p)$ the subalgebra of A_s generated by p-balanced polynomials.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *(Conjecture 5.2 of* [3]*) The algebra* A_s *is generated over* $A_s(p)$ *by elements* c_r *for* $r \geq 0$.

For a matrix M, denote by $\sigma_i(M)$ the i-th coefficient of the characteristic polynomial of M. Then all elements C_r, $\sigma_i(C_{00})^p$, $\sigma_j(C_{11})^p$, $\sigma_n(C_{11})^p Ber(C)^k \in R_{pol}$. As a consequence of previous theorem we obtain that these are generators of algebra R_{pol}.

Theorem 2. *(Conjecture 5.1 of* [3]*) The algebra* R_{pol} *is generated by elements*

$$C_r, \sigma_i(C_{00})^p, \sigma_j(C_{11})^p, \sigma_n(C_{11})^p Ber(C)^k,$$

where $0 \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n, 0 < k < p$.

Proof. Theorem 5.2 of [3] states that the restriction of ϕ on R is a monomorphism. It was also noticed there that $\phi(R_{pol}) \subset A_s$ which is a consequence of arguments analogous to Theorem 1.1 of [1]. Proposition 5.1 of [3] states that $\sigma_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)^p$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\sigma_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)^p$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $u_k(x|y) = \sigma_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)^k \sigma_m(y_1, \dots, y_n)^{p-k}$ for $0 < k < p$ are generators of algebra $A_s(p)$. Since $\phi(C_r) = c_r$, $\phi(\sigma_i(C_{00})^p) = \sigma_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)^p$, $\phi(\sigma_j(C_{11})^p) = \sigma_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)^p$ and $\phi(\sigma_n(C_{11})^p Ber(C)^k) = u_k(x|y)$, the statement follows from Theorem 1. □

Corollary 1. *Algebra* R *is equaled to* $R_{pol}[\sigma_m(C_{00})^{\pm p}, \sigma_n(C_{11})^{\pm p}]$.

Proof. If $f \in R$, then its multiple by a sufficiently large power of $\sigma_m(C_{00})^p \sigma_n(C_{11})^p$ is a polynomial invariant. □

1. Nice supersymmetric polynomials

In this section we will compare algebras corresponding to different values of m, n and apply the Schur functor. Therefore we adjust the notation slightly to reflect the dependence on m, n. For example, we will write $R(m|n)$ instead of R and $A_s(m|n)$ instead of A_s.

Denote by $A_{ns}(m|n)$ the algebra of "nice" supersymmetric polynomials. It is a subalgebra of $A_s(m|n)$ generated by polynomials

$$c_r(m|n) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{r-i} \sigma_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) p_{r-i}(y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

$$\sigma_i(x, m)^p = \sigma_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)^p, \sigma_j(y, n)^p = \sigma_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)^p$$

and

$$u_k(m|n) = \sigma_m(x, m)^k \sigma_n(y, n)^{p-k}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $0 < k < p$. Since $\phi(C_r(m|n)) = c_r(m|n)$, using Proposition 5.1 of [3] we conclude that $A_{ns}(m|n) \subset \phi(R_{pol}(m|n))$. We will see below that this inclusion is actually an equality. Denote by $A_{ns}(m|n, t)$ the homogeneous component of $A_{ns}(m|n)$ of degree t.

For any integers $M \geq m, N \geq n$ there is a graded superalgebra morphism $p_e : K[x_1, \dots, x_M, y_1, \dots, y_N] \to K[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ that maps $x_i \mapsto x_i$ for $i \leq m$, $y_j \mapsto y_j$ for $j \leq n$ and the remaining generators x_i, y_j to zero. Clearly p_e restricts to a map from $A_s(M|N)$ into $A_s(m|n)$.

Lemma 1.1. *The map* p_e *takes* $A_{ns}(M|N)$ *to* $A_{ns}(m|n)$.

Proof. Assume $M > m$ or $N > n$. It follows from $p_e(\sigma_i(x, M)) = \sigma_i(x, m)$ if $i \leq m$ and $p_e(\sigma_i(x, M)) = 0$ otherwise; $p_e(\sigma_j(y, N)) = \sigma_j(y, n)$ if $j \leq n$ and $p_e(\sigma_j(y, N)) = 0$ otherwise; $p_e(c_r(M, N)) = c_r(m|n)$ if $r \leq m, n$ and $p_e(c_r(M, N)) = 0$ otherwise, and $p_e(u_k(M|N)) = 0$. □

For the integers $M \geq m, N \geq n$ consider the Schur superalgebra $S(M|N, r)$ and its idempotent $e = \sum_\mu \xi_\mu$, where the sum runs over all weights μ for which $\mu_i = 0$ whenever $m < i \leq M$ or $M + n < i \leq M + N$. Then $S(m|n, r) \simeq eS(M|N, r)e$ and there is a natural Schur functor $S(M|N, r) - mod \to S(m|n, r) - mod$ given by $V \mapsto eV$. If V is a $S(M|N, r)$-supermodule, then eV is a supersubspace of V and therefore, eV has the canonical $S(m|n, r)$-supermodule structure.

Lemma 1.2. *The map* p_e *induces an epimorphism of graded algebras* $\phi(R_{pol}(M|N)) \to \phi(R_{pol}(m|n))$.

Proof. Applying the Chevalley map ϕ to the collection of simple polynomial G-supermodules L and using Theorem 5.3 of [3] we obtain that the algebra $\phi(R_{pol})$ is spanned by the supercharacters $\chi_{sup}(L)$. If λ is a highest weight of L, then $\chi_{sup}(L)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $r = |\lambda| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m+n} \lambda_i$. By the standard property of a Schur functor there is a simple $S(M|N, r)$-supermodule L' such that $eL' \simeq L$. Since $p_e(\chi_{sup}(L')) = \chi_{sup}(L)$, the claim follows. □

Proposition 1.1. $\phi(R_{pol}(m|n)) = A_{ns}(m|n)$.

Proof. Fix a homogeneous element $f \in \phi(R_{pol}(m|n))$ of degree r and choose $M \geq m$ strictly greater than r. By Lemma 1.2 there is a homogeneous polynomial $f' \in \phi(R_{pol}(M|n))$ of degree r such that $p_e(f') = f$. Using Chevalley map and applying Theorem 5.3 of [3] to the collection of costandard polynomial modules $\nabla(\mu)$ we obtain that f' is a linear combination of supercharacters $\chi_{sup}(\nabla(\mu))$, where μ runs over polynomial dominant weights with $|\mu| = r$.

The assumption $M > r$, Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 5.6 of [2] imply that for the highest weight $\mu = (\mu_+|\mu_-)$ we have $\mu_- = p\overline{\mu}$ and $\nabla(\mu) \simeq \nabla(\mu_+|0) \otimes F(\overline{\nabla}(\overline{\mu}))$, where F is the Frobenius map and $\overline{\nabla}(\overline{\mu})$ is the costandard $GL(n)$-module with the highest weight $\overline{\mu}$. Therefore

$$\chi_{sup}(\nabla(\mu)) = \chi_{sup}(\nabla(\mu_+|0))\chi(\overline{\nabla}(\overline{\mu}))^p.$$

Since $\chi(\overline{\nabla}(\overline{\mu}))^p$ is a polynomial in $\sigma_j(y,n)^p$, all that remains to show is that $\chi_{sup}(\nabla(\mu_+|0))$ belongs to $A_{ns}(M|n)$ and use Lemma 1.1.

By Theorem 6.6 of [2] the character $\chi_{sup}(\nabla(\pi|0))$ for a polynomial weight π does not depend on the characteristic of the ground field. Therefore we can temporarily assume that $char K = 0$. In this case the category $S(M|n,r)-mod$ is semisimple and its simple modules are $\nabla(\lambda)$, where λ runs over $(M|n)$-hook weights.

An exterior power $\Lambda^t(E(M|n))$ for $t \leq M$ has a unique maximal weight $(1^t|0)$. Consequently, a $S(M|n,r)$-supermodule $V =$

$$\Lambda^M(E(M|n))^{\otimes \pi_M} \otimes \Lambda^{M-1}(E(M|n))^{\otimes(\pi_{M-1}-\pi_M)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Lambda^1(E(M|n))^{\otimes(\pi_1-\pi_2)}$$

has the unique maximal weight $(\pi|0)$ and supercharacter

$$\chi_{sup}(V) = c_1^{\pi_1-\pi_2} \ldots c_{M-1}^{\pi_{M-1}-\pi_M} c_M^{\pi_M}.$$

The module V is a direct sum of $L(\pi|0) = \nabla(\pi|0)$ and $L(\kappa) = \nabla(\kappa)$ with $\kappa < (\pi|0)$. Since κ is a polynomial weight, it implies that $\kappa = (\kappa_+|0)$ and $\kappa_+ < \pi$. Using induction on π we derive that $\chi_{sup}(\nabla(\pi|0))$ is a polynomial in $c_1, \ldots, c_M$ hence it belongs to $A_{ns}(M|n)$. □

Corollary 1.1. *The morphism p_e maps $A_{ns}(M|N,t)$ onto $A_{ns}(m|n,t)$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We will need the following crucial observation.

Lemma 2.1. *If $f \in A_s(m|n)$ is divided by x_m, then f is divided by a nonconstant element of $A_{ns}(m|n)$.*

Proof. We can assume $f \neq 0$ and use the symmetricity of f in variables $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ to write $f = x_1^a \ldots x_m^a y_1^b \ldots y_n^b g$, where exponents $a > 0, b \geq 0$ and a polynomial g such that $g|_{x_m=y_n=0} \neq 0$ are unique. Then

$$f|_{x_m=y_n=T} = T^{a+b} x_1^a \ldots x_{m-1}^a y_1^b \ldots y_{n-1}^b g|_{x_m=y_n=T}$$

$$= T^{a+b} x_1^a \ldots x_{m-1}^a y_1^b \ldots y_{n-1}^b g_0 + T^{a+b+1} x_1^a \ldots x_{m-1}^a y_1^b \ldots y_{n-1}^b g_1,$$

where we write $g|_{x_m=y_n=T} = g_0 + T g_1$. The requirement $g|_{x_m=y_n=0} \neq 0$ implies $g_0 \neq 0$. Since $\frac{d}{dT} f|_{x_m=y_n=T} = 0$, this is only possible if $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $a > 0$, the polynomial $x_1^a \ldots x_m^a y_1^b \ldots y_n^b$ is not constant, and is a product of $\sigma_m(x,m)^p$, $\sigma_n(y,n)^p$ and $u_k(m|n)$ which belongs to $A_{ns}(m|n)$. In fact, since $a > 0$, we have that f is divisible either by $\sigma_m(x,m)^p$ or by some $u_k(m|n)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Using Proposition 5.1 of [3] the statement of the theorem is equivalent to the equality $A_s(m|n) = A_{ns}(m|n)$.

Fix n and assume that m is minimal such that there exists a polynomial $f \in A_s(m|n) \setminus A_{ns}(m|n)$ and choose f such that it is homogeneous and of the minimal degree. Then its reduction $f|_{x_m=0} \in A_{ns}(m-1|n)$ is a nonzero polynomial $h(c_t(m-1|n), \sigma_i(x, m-1)^p, \sigma_j(y, n)^p, u_k(m-1|n))$ in elements $c_t(m-1|n)$, $\sigma_i(x, m-1)^p$, $\sigma_j(y, n)^p$ and $u_k(m-1, n)$ where $t \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $0 < k < p$. By Corollary 1.1 there are elements $v_k \in A_{ns}(m|n)$ of degree $mk + (p-k)n$ such that $v_k|_{x_m=0} = u_k(m-1|n)$. Since $c_t(m|n)|_{x_m=0} = c_t(m-1|n)$, $\sigma_i(x, m)^p|_{x_m=0} = \sigma_i(x, m-1)^p$ and $\sigma_j(y, n)^p|_{x_m=0} = \sigma_j(y, n)^p$, the polynomial $l = f - h(c_t(m|n), \sigma_i(x, m)^p, \sigma_j(y, n)^p, v_k(m|n))$ satisfies $l|_{x_m=0} = 0$. Since the degree of l does not exceed the degree of f, $l \in A_s(m|n)$ and x_m divides l, Lemma 2.1 implies that $l = l_0 l_1$, where $l_0 \in A_{ns}(m|n)$ and the degree of l_1 is strictly less than the degree of f. But $l_1 \in A_s(m|n) \setminus A_{ns}(m|n)$ which is a contradiction with our choice of f. □

3. Elementary proof of Theorem 1

A closer look at the proof of Theorem 1 reveals that Corollary 1.1 is the only result from Section 1 that was used in it. Actually, only the following weaker statement was required in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 3.1. *For each* $0 < k < p$ *there is a polynomial* $v_k \in A_{ns}(m|n)$ *of degree* $(m-1)k + (p-k)n$ *such that* $v_k|_{x_m=0} = u_k(m-1|n)$.

In this section we give a constructive elementary proof of Proposition 3.1 that bypasses a use of the Schur functor and results about costandard modules derived in [2].

Fix $0 < k < p$ and denote $s = \lceil \frac{k}{p-k} \rceil$. Then for $i = 0, \dots s-1$ define $k_i = (i+1)k - ip > 0$ and $k_p = sp - (s+1)k \geq 0$. The relations

$$k_i + (p-k) = k_{i-1},\ k_p + k = s(p-k),\ k_i + k_p = (s-i)(p-k)$$

will be used repeatedly.

A symbol Δ will denote a nondecreasing sequence $(i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_t)$ of natural numbers, where $0 \leq t < s$. We denote $||\Delta|| = t$ and $|\Delta| = \sum_{j=1}^{t} i_j$. In particular, we allow $\Delta = (\emptyset)$ and set $||(\emptyset)|| = |(\emptyset)| = 0$. Denote by $Supp(\Delta)$ a maximal increasing subsequence of Δ. Further, denote by $Sym_M(x_1^{a_1} \dots x_r^{a_r})$ and $Sym_N(y_1^{b_1} \dots y_r^{b_r})$ respectively a homogeneous symmetric polynomial in variables $x_1, \dots, x_M$ and $y_1, \dots, y_N$ respectively with a general monomial term $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_r^{a_r}$ and $y_1^{b_1} \dots y_r^{b_r}$ respectively. Denote

$$(\Delta, j)_{M,N} =$$
$$Sym_M(x_1^k \dots x_{M-t}^k x_{M-t+1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots x_M^{k_{i_t}}) Sym_N(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{N-j-1}^{p-k} y_{N-j}^{k_p})$$

for $0 \le ||\Delta|| = t \le M$ and $0 \le j < N$, and $(\Delta, l)_{M,N} = 0$ otherwise;

$$[\Delta, j]_{M,N} = \\ Sym_M(x_1^k \dots x_{M-t}^k x_{M-t+1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots x_M^{k_{i_t}}) Sym_N(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{N-j}^{p-k})$$

for $0 \le ||\Delta|| = t \le M$ and $0 \le j \le N$, and $[\Delta, j]_{M,N} = 0$ otherwise;

$$\{\Delta, l, j\}_{M,N} = \\ Sym_M(x_1^k \dots x_{M-t-1}^k x_{M-t}^{l(p-k)} x_{M-t+1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots x_M^{k_{i_t}}) Sym_N(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{N-j}^{p-k})$$

for $0 \le ||\Delta|| = t < M$ and $0 \le j \le N$ and any l, and $\{\Delta, l, j\}_{M,N} = 0$ otherwise.

For $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ define $\psi(f) = f|_{x_m = y_n = T}$ and for $g, h \in K[x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, T]$ write $g \equiv h$ if and only if $\frac{d}{dT}(g - h) = 0$.

For simplicity write (Δ, j), $[\Delta, j]$ and $\{\Delta, l, j\}$ short for $(\Delta, j)_{m-1,n-1}$, $[\Delta, j]_{m-1,n-1}$ and $\{\Delta, l, j\}_{m-1,n-1}$.

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\psi\{\Delta, l, j\}_{m,n} \equiv \\ T^k\{\Delta, l, j-1\} + T^{(l+1)(p-k)}[\Delta, j] + T^{l(p-k)}[\Delta, j-1] + \\ \textstyle\sum_{i \in Supp(\Delta)} (T^{k_i}\{\Delta \setminus i, l, j-1\} + T^{k_{i-1}}\{\Delta \setminus i, l, j\}).$$

and

$$\psi(\Delta, j)_{m,n} \equiv \\ T^k(\Delta, j-1) + T^{s(p-k)}[\Delta, j] + \\ \textstyle\sum_{i \in Supp(\Delta)} (T^{k_i}(\Delta \setminus i, j-1) + T^{k_{i-1}}(\Delta \setminus i, j) + T^{(s-i)(p-k)}[\Delta \setminus i, j]).$$

Proof. The first relation follows from

$$\psi(Sym_m(x_1^k \dots x_{m-t-1}^k x_{m-t}^{l(p-k)} x_{m-t+1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots x_m^{k_{i_t}})) = \\ \delta_{t,m-1} T^k Sym_{m-1}(x_1^k \dots x_{m-1-t-1}^k x_{m-1-t}^{l(p-k)} x_{m-1-t+1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots x_{m-1}^{k_{i_t}}) \\ + T^{l(p-k)} Sym_{m-1}(x_1^k \dots x_{m-t-1}^k x_{m-t}^{k_{i_1}} \dots x_{m-1}^{k_{i_t}}) + \\ \textstyle\sum_{i \in Supp(\Delta)} T^{k_i} Sym_{m-1}(x_1^k \dots x_{m-t-1}^k x_{m-t}^{l(p-k)} x_{m-t+1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{x_{m-t+i}^{k_i}} \dots x_{m-1}^{k_{i_t}}),$$

$$\psi(Sym_n(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{n-j}^{p-k})) = \\ \delta_{j,n} T^{p-k} Sym_{n-1}(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{n-1-j}^{p-k}) + \delta_{j,0} Sym_{n-1}(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{n-j}^{p-k})$$

and definitions of (Δ, j), $[\Delta, j]$ and $\{\Delta, l, j\}$.

Second relations follows from

$$\psi(Sym_m(x_1^k \dots x_{m-t}^k x_{m-t+1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots x_m^{k_{i_t}})) = \\ \delta_{t,m} T^k Sym_{m-1}(x_1^k \dots x_{m-t-1}^k x_{m-t}^{k_{i_1}} \dots x_{m-1}^{k_{i_t}}) \\ + \textstyle\sum_{i \in Supp(\Delta)} T^{k_i} Sym_{m-1}(x_1^k \dots x_{m-t}^k x_{m-t+1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{x_{m-t+i}^{k_i}} \dots x_{m-1}^{k_{i_t}}),$$

$$\psi(Sym_n(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{n-j}^{p-k} y_{n-1-j}^{k_p})) = \\ \delta_{j,n-1} T^{p-k} Sym_{n-1}(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{n-1-j-1}^{p-k} y_{n-1-j}^{k_p}) \\ + T^{k_p} Sym_{n-1}(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{n-1-j}^{p-k}) + \delta_{j,0} Sym_{n-1}(y_1^{p-k} \dots y_{n-1-j}^{p-k} y_{n-j}^{k_p})$$

and definitions of (Δ, j), $[\Delta, j]$ and $\{\Delta, l, j\}$. $\square$

Let us define

$$\begin{array}{ll} w = & \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \sum_{l-n\leq|\Delta|\leq l} (-1)^{|\Delta|+s+l}(s-l)\{\Delta, l, l-|\Delta|\}_{m,n} \\ & + \sum_{s-1-n<|\Delta|<s} (-1)^{|\Delta|}(\Delta, s-1-|\Delta|)_{m,n}. \end{array} .$$

This expression is identical to

$$\begin{array}{l} \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \sum_{0\leq|\Delta|\leq l} (-1)^{|\Delta|+s+l}(s-l)\{\Delta, l, l-|\Delta|\}_{m,n} \\ + \sum_{\Delta} (-1)^{|\Delta|}(\Delta, s-1-|\Delta|)_{m,n} \end{array}$$

obtained by inserting additional terms that are all equal to zero. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} \psi(w) = & \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \sum_{0\leq|\Delta|\leq l} (-1)^{|\Delta|+s+l}(s-l)\psi\{\Delta, l, l-|\Delta|\}_{m,n} \\ & + \sum_{\Delta} (-1)^{|\Delta|}\psi(\Delta, s-1-|\Delta|)_{m,n} \end{array}$$

which by Lemma 3.1 equals

$$\begin{array}{l} \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \sum_{0\leq|\Delta|\leq l} (-1)^{s+l+|\Delta|}(s-l)\Big(T^k\{\Delta, l, l-|\Delta|-1\} \\ +T^{(l+1)(p-k)}[\Delta, l-|\Delta|] + T^{l(p-k)}[\Delta, l-|\Delta|-1] \\ + \sum_{i\in Supp(\Delta)}(T^{k_i}\{\Delta\setminus i, l, l-|\Delta|-1\} + T^{k_{i-1}}\{\Delta\setminus i, l, l-|\Delta|\})\Big) \\ + \sum_{\Delta}(-1)^{|\Delta|} \\ \Big(T^k(\Delta, s-|\Delta|-2) + T^{s(p-k)}[\Delta, s-1-|\Delta|] \\ + \sum_{i\in Supp(\Delta)}(T^{k_i}(\Delta\setminus i, s-|\Delta|-2) + T^{k_{i-1}}(\Delta\setminus i, s-|\Delta|-1) \\ +T^{(s-i)(p-k)}[\Delta\setminus i, s-|\Delta|-1])\Big). \end{array}$$

To analyze this expression, denote by $C(a)$ the coefficient corresponding to a term a of $\psi(w)$.

Then $C(T^{k_i}(\Delta, s-2-i-|\Delta|) = (-1)^{|\Delta|+i} + (-1)^{|\Delta|+i+1} = 0$ for each $i = 0, \ldots, s-2$ and admissible Δ and $C(T^{k_i}\{\Delta, l, l-1-|\Delta|-i\}) = (-1)^{s+l+|\Delta|+i} + (-1)^{s+l+|\Delta|+i+1} = 0$ for each $i = 0, \ldots, s-1$ and admissible Δ.

Next, $C(T^{s(p-k)}[\Delta, s-|\Delta|-1]) = (-1)^{|\Delta|+s+s-1}(s-(s-1)) + (-1)^{|\Delta|} = 0$ and if $l-1-|\Delta| \neq 0$ or $\Delta \neq \emptyset$, then $C(T^{l(p-k)}[\Delta, l-|\Delta|-1]) = (-1)^{|\Delta|+s+l}(s-l) + (-1)^{|\Delta|+s+l-1}(s-l+1) + (-1)^{|\Delta_l|}$, where $\Delta_l = \Delta \cup (s-l)$. Since $(-1)^{|\Delta_l|} = (-1)^{|\Delta|+s-l}$ we conclude that $C(T^{l(p-k)}[\Delta, l-|\Delta|-1]) = 0$. Finally, $C(T^{p-k}[\emptyset, 0]) = (-1)^{s+1}(s-1) + (-1)^{|\Delta_1|} = (-1)^{s+1}s$. Therefore

$$\begin{array}{ll} \psi(w) & = (-1)^{s+1}sT^{p-k}[\emptyset, 0] \\ & = (-1)^{s+1}sT^{p-k}Sym_{m-1}(x_1^k \ldots x_{m-1}^k)Sym_{n-1}(y_1^{p-k} \ldots y_{n-1}^{p-k}). \end{array}$$

We can now easily prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Since $s < p$ we can take

$$v_k = \frac{(-1)^s}{s}w + Sym_m(x_1^k \ldots x_{m-1}^k)(y_1^{p-k} \ldots y_n^{p-k}).$$

Then $\psi(v_k)=0$, hence $v_k \in A_s(m|n)$ and $v_k|_{x_m=0}=u_k(m-1|n)$. It is easy to check that v_k is homogeneous of degree $(m-1)k+(p-k)n$. $\square$.

4. Concluding remarks

The proof of Theorem 1 was influenced by Theorem 1 in [4]. Although our proof uses different arguments we would like to remark that an analogue of Theorem 1 of [4] remains valid over arbitrary commutative ring A of any characteristic.

Proposition 4.1. *The algebra of polynomials* $f(x_1,\ldots,x_m,y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ *over a commutative ring* A*, symmetric in variables* $x_1,\ldots,x_m$ *and* $y_1,\ldots,y_n$ *separately and such that* $f|_{x_m=y_n=T}$ *does not depend on* T *is generated by polynomials* $c_r(x|y)$*.*

Proof. Proof is a complete analogue of Theorem 1 of [4]. Just replace every appearance of $\sigma^{(r)}_{m,n}$ by $c_r(x|y)$. $\square$

Let us comment that if characteristic of A is positive, then condition that $f|_{x_m=y_n=T}$ does not depend on T is stronger than $\frac{d}{dT}f|_{x_m=y_n=T}=0$.

Proposition 3.1 of [1] states that, in the case of characteristic zero, the algebra A_s is infinitely generated. In the case of positive characteristic we have the following.

Proposition 4.2. *Algebra* A_s *is finitely generated.*

Proof. The algebra A_s is contained in $B=K[\sigma_i(x|m),\sigma_j(y|n)|1\leq i\leq m, 1\leq j\leq n]$. Algebra B is finitely generated over its subalgebra $B'=K[\sigma_i(x|m)^p,\sigma_j(y|n)^p|1\leq i\leq m, 1\leq j\leq n]$ hence is a Noetherian B'-module. However, A_s contains B' and is therefore finitely generated B'-module. Since B' is finitely generated, so is A_s. $\square$

References

[1] I.Kantor and I.Trishin, *The algebra of polynomial invariants of the adjoint representation of the Lie superalgebra* $gl(m|n)$, Comm. Algebra, 25(7) 1997, 2039-2070.

[2] R. La Scala and A.N.Zubkov, *Costandard modules over Schur superalgebras in characteristic* p, J.Algebra and its Appl., 7(2008), no 2, 147-166.

[3] R. La Scala and A.N.Zubkov, *Donkin-Koppinen filtration for general linear supergroup*, submitted to Algebra and Representation Theory.

[4] J.R.Stembridge, *A characterization of supersymmetric polynomials*, J. Algebra 95 (1985), N.2, 439-444.

Departamento de Matematica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, 05315-970 - So Paulo, Brazil
E-mail address: `shuragri@gmail.com`

Penn State Hazleton, 76 University Drive, Hazleton PA 18202, USA
E-mail address: `fxm13@psu.edu`

Omsk State Pedagogical University, Chair of Geometry, 644099 Omsk-99, Tuhachevskogo Embankment 14, Russia
E-mail address: `zubkov@iitam.omsk.net.ru`